[MS-XMLMC]:
XML Schema for Media Control Extensions

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.

- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications.

- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.

- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft Open Specification Promise or the Community Promise. If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplg@microsoft.com.

- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights.

- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Preliminary Documentation. This Open Specification provides documentation for past and current releases and/or for the pre-release (beta) version of this technology. This Open Specification is final

documentation for past or current releases as specifically noted in the document, as applicable; it is preliminary documentation for the pre-release (beta) versions. Microsoft will release final documentation in connection with the commercial release of the updated or new version of this technology. As the documentation may change between this preliminary version and the final version of this technology, there are risks in relying on preliminary documentation. To the extent that you incur additional development obligations or any other costs as a result of relying on this preliminary documentation, you do so at your own risk.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
04/04/2008	0.1		Initial version
04/25/2008	0.2		Updated based on feedback
06/27/2008	1.0		Updated and revised the technical content.
08/15/2008	1.01		Revised and edited the technical content.
12/12/2008	2.0		Updated and revised the technical content
02/13/2009	2.01		Updated with latest template bug fixes (redlined)
03/13/2009	2.02		Revised and edited the technical content.
07/13/2009	2.03	Major	Revised and edited the technical content
08/28/2009	2.04	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
11/06/2009	2.05	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
02/19/2010	2.06	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
03/31/2010	2.07	Major	Updated and revised the technical content
04/30/2010	2.08	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
06/07/2010	2.09	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
06/29/2010	2.10	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
07/23/2010	2.10	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
09/27/2010	3.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
11/15/2010	3.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
12/17/2010	3.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
03/18/2011	3.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
			the technical content.
06/10/2011	3.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
01/20/2012	3.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.

Table of Contents

1   Introduction

This document specifies the XML Schema for Media Control Extensions Protocol. This protocol is a proprietary extension to an Internet-Draft Proposal entitled "XML Schema for Media Control," which is described in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 1. [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] describes media control messages for Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)-based systems that send or receive video using the Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP).

This protocol extends [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] by adding one new media control command instructing a sender to stop or suspend transmission of real-time video streams during a multimedia session.

Sections 1.8, 2, and 3 of this specification are normative and contain RFC 2119 language. Sections 1.5 and 1.9 are also normative but cannot contain RFC 2119 language. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

1.1   Glossary

The following terms are defined in [MS-OFCGLOS]:

Multipoint Control Unit (MCU)
Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME)
Real-Time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP)
Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP)
Session Description Protocol (SDP)
Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)

The following terms are specific to this document:

Central Video Processor (CVP): An entity that centrally processes multiple received video streams and distributes the resulting, processed streams to the parties in a multiparty video conference. An example of a CVP is a video Multipoint Control Unit (MCU).

originating video source (OVS): An entity that locally produces a video stream and sends the video stream to another party or to a Multipoint Control Unit (MCU). For example, a protocol client that is configured with a video camera.

primitive: A basic or fundamental message-based operation that is defined by a communications protocol.

MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT: These terms (in all caps) are used as described in [RFC2119]. All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2   References

References to Microsoft Open Specification documents do not include a publishing year because links are to the latest version of the documents, which are updated frequently. References to other documents include a publishing year when one is available.

1.2.1   Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information. Please check the archive site,

http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/E4BD6494-06AD-4aed-9823-445E921C9624, as an additional source.

[IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] Levin, O., Even, R., and Hagendorf, P., "XML Schema for Media Control", draft-levin-mmusic-xml-media-control-12, November 2007, http://ietfreport.isoc.org/all-ids/draft-levin-mmusic-xml-media-control-12.txt

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[RFC2976] Donovan, S., "The SIP INFO Method", RFC 2976, October 2000, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2976.txt

[RFC3264] Rosenberg, J., and Schulzrinne, H., "An Offer/Answer Model with the Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, June 2002, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3264.txt

[RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and Perkins, C., "SDP: Session Description Protocol", RFC 4566, July 2006, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4566.txt

1.2.2 Informative References

[MS-OFCGLOS] Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft Office Master Glossary".

1.3 Protocol Overview (Synopsis)

The **Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)** and the **Session Description Protocol (SDP)** together describe the mechanisms and message syntax for establishing point-to-point multimedia sessions. Various media types are specified in SDP, including real-time video using the **Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP)**. Separately, [RFC2976] section 1 describes the SIP INFO method as an extensibility mechanism for SIP. The SIP INFO method provides a general-purpose message transport for application-level information to be transferred within an existing SIP communication context. The SIP INFO method allows various message types to be transferred along the SIP signaling path, but does not specify message content or semantics.

[IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] sections 4 and 5 specify message semantics and a schema for specific video media control messages to be carried in a SIP INFO method. Only the proprietary extensions to [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] are specified in this document.

This protocol is intended for use in the specific circumstance when video control messages are sent from a **Central Video Processor (CVP)**, such as a video **Multipoint Control Unit (MCU)**, to an **originating video source (OVS)**.

[IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 9 describes a new **Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME)** content type for Extensible Markup Language (XML)-encoded media control messages, and defines a schema for the message body. The message schema includes the hierarchical definition of a media control **primitive** type, a video control primitive type, and a video encoder control primitive type. Finally, the **picture_fast_update** element is defined as a video encoder control primitive type. The **picture_fast_update** element is a media control message that requests the sender of an RTP video stream to send a full video frame update as soon as possible.

This protocol defines one new video encoder control primitive by adding the **picture_freeze** element to the XML schema. This element is a media control message that requests the sender of an RTP video stream to suspend, or stop, sending RTP video until further notice.

In multiparty video sessions where video is centrally switched by a CVP, there are typically many more video sources at any given moment than necessary. If all participants in a multiparty

conference are sending video to the CVP and only a small number of these are actually distributed back to protocol clients, network bandwidth is unnecessarily consumed by the unused video streams. In addition to consuming network bandwidth, the unused streams also consume resources on the CVP, because the CVP continues to receive, and then discard, the unused video data.

A standard signaling mechanism for stopping and starting RTP streams, by changing directional attributes of **SDP**, is described in [RFC3264] section 8. Typical implementations of video-enabled applications using [RFC3264] assume application-specific behavior associated with changes in value of the directional attributes; for example, by closing local video preview windows and stopping video capture. This behavior is undesirable when the start/stop sequence is frequent and/or transient in nature.

This protocol provides the means for the receiver of video, or CVP, to request the sender to stop sending its video stream without changing any SIP session state. When the **picture_freeze** message defined in this protocol is used in conjunction with the existing **picture_fast_update** message, it provides a lightweight and low-latency signaling mechanism for pausing and re-starting real-time video streams.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

This protocol depends on the Internet-Draft [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12], which establishes the base schema for video control primitives in Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)-based systems. [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] in turn depends on the SIP INFO Method extension, as described in [RFC2976]. This protocol relates specifically to Session Description Protocol (SDP) video media types, as described in [RFC4566].

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

None.

1.6 Applicability Statement

This protocol is applicable only to protocol clients or servers participating in multiparty video sessions where video is centrally processed by a Central Video Processor (CVP). The **picture_freeze** message is sent only by CVPs during the course of centralized multiparty conferences, and received **picture_freeze** messages are processed only by an originating video source (OVS). This protocol is not applicable to generic point-to-point multimedia sessions and/or to media types other than Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) video.

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

None.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

None.

1.9 Standards Assignments

None.

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

The payload for this protocol is carried as the message payload of the SIP INFO method, as specified in [RFC2976] section 3.

2.2 Message Syntax

The message schema on which this extension is based is defined in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 5. The relevant sections of that schema are included in section 2.2.1 to show context. To view the full schema, see section 6.

This protocol adds one media control primitive to the media control primitives defined in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 5, so one element representing this primitive is added to the schema defined in that same document.

2.2.1 picture_freeze

The **picture_freeze** message extends the schema with one element named "picture_freeze", as follows.

```
<xs:complexType name="to_encoder">
    <xs:choice>
        <xs:element name="picture_fast_update"/>
        <xs:element name="picture_freeze"/>
    </xs:choice>
</xs:complexType>
```

3   Protocol Details

3.1   Originating Video Source Details

This section describes the processing of received **picture_freeze** messages by an entity acting as an originating video source (OVS). An OVS SHOULD NOT send the **picture_freeze** message.

3.1.1   Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

This protocol is stateless with respect to the sending of its messages. Because there is no synchronization of state between **picture_freeze** messages and the video media states established by the Session Description Protocol (SDP) offer/answer model, as specified in [RFC3264] section 4 and [RFC4566] section 4, an originating video source (OVS) MUST respond consistently to all SIP INFO messages that contain the **picture_freeze** message, regardless of what media control actions are taken, or not taken, at the time the individual messages are processed.

Section 3.1.5 describes the relationships between OVS behaviors and the receipt of the **picture_freeze** message.

3.1.2   Timers

None.

3.1.3   Initialization

No initialization is required, other than the constraints specified in [RFC2976] section 2, regarding establishment of a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) session before a SIP INFO message can be transferred.

3.1.4   Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.1.5   Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

3.1.5.1   Processing a Received Picture_Freeze Message

An originating video source (OVS) that implements this protocol has only two possible courses of action to take when a **picture_freeze** message is received. It either suspends sending Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) frames containing video payloads, or it takes no action because the OVS is already in a state where no RTP video frames are being sent. This protocol extension is stateless, and thus subsequent to processing the received **picture_freeze** message according to the rules specified in this section, an OVS SHOULD NOT retain or persist any state related to the received **picture_freeze** message.

An OVS is not required to implement this protocol. However, an OVS that implements this protocol MUST also implement processing of the **picture_fast_update** message, as specified in

[IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 4. This is because the **picture_freeze** message is used to temporarily suspend sending RTP video packets, and the **picture_fast_update** message is used to resume sending RTP video packets.

When an OVS processes a received **picture_freeze** message, it SHOULD suspend sending RTP video packets if it is currently sending them.

If an OVS does suspend sending RTP video packets in response to processing a received **picture_freeze** message, it MUST continue to send **Real-Time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP)** packets.

Note: The same behavior is recommended, but not mandated, by [RFC4566] section 6, specifically regarding the **a=recvonly** and **a=inactive** attributes for RTP-based systems.

- Recvonly applies to the media only, not to any associated control protocol. An RTP-based system in recvonly mode SHOULD still send RTCP packets.

- An RTP-based system SHOULD still send RTCP, even if it started inactive.

3.1.5.2 Error Cases

This protocol does not introduce any new potential error conditions in addition to those specified in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 7.2, which defines the format for reporting a parsing error.

Recall that there is no expectation of a synchronization state between **picture_freeze** messages and any other messages, including the negotiated Session Description Protocol (SDP) media state that is carried in offer/answer messages, as specified in [RFC3264] section 6. For that reason, an originating video source (OVS) MUST NOT return any error messages in response to a well-formed **picture_freeze** message, regardless of the relevance of the **picture_freeze** message to the current state of the OVS application.

3.1.6 Timer Events

None.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

None.

3.2 Central Video Processor Details

Central Video Processor (CVP) entities can send **picture_freeze** messages.

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

This protocol is stateless with respect to the flow of messages on the wire.

The implementation of the Central Video Processor (CVP) determines what internal state logic best represents its video-processing features. A CVP implementation that centrally processes Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) video media might or might not operate in modes where only a subset of

received RTP video media streams are actually processed at any given moment. This protocol is intended to reduce network bandwidth in cases where the CVP implementation intentionally stops processing a received RTP video media stream for a finite period of time. The decision to stop processing a received RTP stream is entirely in the domain of the CVP implementation.

Regardless of the implementation and how many received streams are processed at any one time, a typical implementation might arrive at a decision to begin processing one received stream at the expense of another. Although implementations can take other forms, the following example illustrates how a typical CVP implementation uses this protocol.

Consider a multipoint conference in progress, with the CVP processing received RTP video from multiple endpoints. A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) session has been established between the CVP and each of the protocol client endpoints. At the point in time where this example begins, the CVP is processing received RTP video from only a subset of all endpoints, one of which is named "endpoint A." The CVP is not processing received RTP video from the remaining endpoints, regardless of whether or not those endpoints are sending RTP video packets. One of the remaining endpoints is named "endpoint B."

When the CVP implementation logic determines that it needs to begin, or resume, processing RTP video from endpoint B instead of endpoint A, the CVP sends the following two messages:

- A **picture_fast_update** message to endpoint B.

- A **picture_freeze** message to endpoint A.

The CVP can send the messages in any order, although a prudent implementation ensures that RTP video was, in fact, being received from endpoint B before sending the **picture_freeze** to endpoint A.

3.2.1.1   Stream-Id-Specific Forms of Video Control Primitives

The XML schema specified in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 5 defines an optional **stream_id** element within the **vc_primitive** element. The text of [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] does not specify the semantics of the **stream_id** element.

This specification assumes an environment where the video is centrally processed (see section 1.6, Applicability Statement earlier) and that the video control messages are sent only by CVPs. In that environment, it is assumed that if the Central Video Processor (CVP) is receiving multiple video streams per protocol client, all of a protocol client's video streams will be started and/or stopped in unison.

Therefore, CVP implementations SHOULD NOT include the optional **stream_id** element when sending either the **picture_fast_update** or the **picture_freeze** message.

3.2.2   Timers

None.

3.2.3   Initialization

This protocol does not require any initialization, other than the constraints specified in [RFC2976] section 2, regarding establishment of a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) session before a SIP INFO message can be transferred.

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

There are no prescribed triggered events required for implementation of this protocol.

The server can send a **picture_freeze** message when its internal video source selection logic determines that an input video stream is not being routed to any of the other clients and is therefore unnecessary. An implementation can use any implementation-specific state changes or inputs as a factor in determining when to send the **picture_freeze** message.

If **picture_freeze** and **picture_fast_update** messages are not sent, clients continue to send video packets to the server, even when the server is discarding all video packets from that client.

3.2.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

None.

3.2.6 Timer Events

None.

3.2.7 Other Local Events

None.

4   Protocol Examples

A typical message body containing the **picture_freeze** message is shown in the following example. This message body can be carried in any valid SIP INFO message according to [RFC2976] section 2.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<media_control>
    <vc_primitive>
        <to_encoder>
            <picture_freeze/>
        </to_encoder>
    </vc_primitive>
</media_control>
```

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

None.

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: XML Schema for Media Control

The schema described in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 5 is included here for reference. Note that the schema described in [IETFDRAFT-XMLSMC-12] section 5 contains a typographical error in the line that defines the **picture_fast_update** element.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>

<xs:schema id="TightMediaControl"
   elementFormDefault="qualified"
   xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">


    <xs:element name="media_control">
        <xs:complexType>
           <xs:sequence>
              <xs:element name="vc_primitive"
                 type="vc_primitive"
                 minOccurs="0"
                 maxOccurs="unbounded" />
              <xs:element name="general_error"
                 type="xs:string"
                 minOccurs="0"
                 maxOccurs="unbounded" />
           </xs:sequence>
        </xs:complexType>
    </xs:element>

 <!-- Video control primitive.  -->

    <xs:complexType name="vc_primitive">
       <xs:sequence>
          <xs:element name="to_encoder" type="to_encoder" />
          <xs:element name="stream_id"
             type="xsd:string"
             minOccurs="0"
             maxOccurs="unbounded" />
       </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>

 <!-- Encoder Command:
    Picture Fast Update
 -->

    <xs:complexType name="to_encoder">
       <xs:choice>
             <xsd:element name="picture_fast_update"/>
       </xs:choice>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

---

7   Appendix B: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include released service packs:

- Microsoft® Office Communications Server 2007

- Microsoft® Office Communicator 2007

- Microsoft® Office Communications Server 2007 R2

- Microsoft® Office Communicator 2007 R2

- Microsoft® Lync™ Server 2010

- Microsoft® Lync™ 2010

- Microsoft® Lync Server 15 Technical Preview

- Microsoft® Lync 15 Technical Preview

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack or Quick Fix Engineering (QFE) number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack or QFE. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

8   Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to the [MS-XMLMC] protocol document between the June 2011 and January 2012 releases. Changes are classified as New, Major, Minor, Editorial, or No change.

The revision class **New** means that a new document is being released.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements or functionality.

- An extensive rewrite, addition, or deletion of major portions of content.

- The removal of a document from the documentation set.

- Changes made for template compliance.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **Editorial** means that the language and formatting in the technical content was changed. Editorial changes apply to grammatical, formatting, and style issues.

The revision class **No change** means that no new technical or language changes were introduced. The technical content of the document is identical to the last released version, but minor editorial and formatting changes, as well as updates to the header and footer information, and to the revision summary, may have been made.

Major and minor changes can be described further using the following change types:

- New content added.

- Content updated.

- Content removed.

- New product behavior note added.

- Product behavior note updated.

- Product behavior note removed.

- New protocol syntax added.

- Protocol syntax updated.

- Protocol syntax removed.

- New content added due to protocol revision.

- Content updated due to protocol revision.

- Content removed due to protocol revision.

- New protocol syntax added due to protocol revision.

- Protocol syntax updated due to protocol revision.

- Protocol syntax removed due to protocol revision.

- New content added for template compliance.

- Content updated for template compliance.

- Content removed for template compliance.

- Obsolete document removed.

Editorial changes are always classified with the change type **Editorially updated.**

Some important terms used in the change type descriptions are defined as follows:

- **Protocol syntax** refers to data elements (such as packets, structures, enumerations, and methods) as well as interfaces.

- **Protocol revision** refers to changes made to a protocol that affect the bits that are sent over the wire.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact protocol@microsoft.com.

Section	Tracking number (if applicable) and description	Major change (Y or N)	Change type
3.1.5.1 Processing a Received Picture_Freeze Message	Identified type of packets sent when originating video source suspends Real-Time Transport Protocol video packet transmission while processing a picture_freeze message.	N	Content updated.

9 Index

A

Abstract data model
  Central Video Processor 11
Abstract data model - Central Video Processor
  video control primitives 12
Applicability 8

C

Capability negotiation 8
Central Video Processor
  overview 11
Central Video Processor - abstract data model 11
  video control primitives 12
Central Video Processor - higher-layer triggered events 13
Central Video Processor - initialization 12
Central Video Processor - local events 13
Central Video Processor - sequencing rules 13
Central Video Processor - timer events 13
Central Video Processor - timers 12
Change tracking 18

D

Data model - abstract
  Central Video Processor 11
    video control primitives 12

E

Examples 14

F

Fields - vendor-extensible 8

G

Glossary 6

H

Higher-layer triggered events - Central Video Processor 13
Higher-layer triggered events - originating video source 10

I

Implementer - security considerations 15
Index of security parameters 15
Informative references 7
Initialization - Central Video Processor 12
Initialization - originating video source 10
Introduction 6

L

Local events - Central Video Processor 13
Local events - originating video source 11

M

Media control schema 16
Message processing - Central Video Processor 13
Message processing - originating video source
  error cases 11
  picture_freeze message received 10
Messages
  picture_freeze 9
  transport 9

N

Normative references 6

O

Originating video source
  overview 10
Originating video source - higher-layer triggered events 10
Originating video source - local events 11
Originating video source - message processing
  error cases 11
  picture_freeze message received 10
Originating video source - sequencing rules
  error cases 11
  picture_freeze message received 10
Originating video source - timer events 11
Overview (synopsis) 7

P

Parameters - security index 15
picture_freeze message 9
Preconditions 8
Prerequisites 8
Product behavior 17

R

References
  informative 7
  normative 6
Relationship to other protocols 8

S

Schema 16
Security
  implementer considerations 15
  parameter index 15

Release: Sunday, January 22, 2012