[MS-XLOGIN]:
Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) AUTH LOGIN Extension

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- **Technical Documentation.** Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.

- **Copyrights.** This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications.

- **No Trade Secrets.** Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.

- **Patents.** Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft Open Specification Promise or the Community Promise. If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplg@microsoft.com.

- **Trademarks.** The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights. For a list of Microsoft trademarks, visit www.microsoft.com/trademarks.

- **Fictitious Names.** The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
04/04/2008	0.1		Initial Availability.
06/27/2008	1.0		Initial Release.
08/06/2008	1.01		Revised and edited technical content.
09/03/2008	1.02		Updated references.
12/03/2008	1.03		Updated IP notice.
04/10/2009	2.0		Updated applicable product releases.
07/15/2009	3.0	Major	Revised and edited for technical content.
11/04/2009	4.0.0	Major	Updated and revised the technical content.
02/10/2010	4.1.0	Minor	Updated the technical content.
05/05/2010	4.1.1	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content.
08/04/2010	5.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
11/03/2010	5.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
03/18/2011	5.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
08/05/2011	5.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
10/07/2011	5.1	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
01/20/2012	6.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
04/27/2012	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
07/16/2012	6.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/08/2012	7.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
02/11/2013	8.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
07/26/2013	9.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
11/18/2013	9.0	No change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.

Release: November 18, 2013

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

The Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) AUTH LOGIN Extension is an authentication mechanism that provides an easily implemented method for clients to authenticate to **SMTP** servers over a standard SMTP connection. This extension uses the SMTP Service Extension for Authentication, as specified in [RFC4954], to extend SMTP.

Sections 1.8, 2, and 3 of this specification are normative and can contain the terms MAY, SHOULD, MUST, MUST NOT, and SHOULD NOT as defined in RFC 2119. Sections 1.5 and 1.9 are also normative but cannot contain those terms. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

1.1 Glossary

The following terms are defined in [MS-GLOS]:

> **Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF)**
> **NT LAN Manager (NTLM) Authentication Protocol**
> **SASL**

The following terms are defined in [MS-OXGLOS]:

> **base64 encoding**
> **Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP)**
> **Transport Layer Security (TLS)**

The following terms are specific to this document:

> **MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT:** These terms (in all caps) are used as described in [RFC2119]. All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

References to Microsoft Open Specifications documentation do not include a publishing year because links are to the latest version of the documents, which are updated frequently. References to other documents include a publishing year when one is available.

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information. Please check the archive site, http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/E4BD6494-06AD-4aed-9823-445E921C9624, as an additional source.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", RFC 4648, October 2006, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4648.txt

[RFC4954] Siemborski, R., and Melnikov, A., Eds., "SMTP Service Extension for Authentication", RFC 4954, July 2007, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4954.txt

[RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed., and Overell, P., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5234.txt

[RFC5321] Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", RFC 5321, October 2008, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5321.txt

1.2.2   Informative References

[MS-GLOS] Microsoft Corporation, "Windows Protocols Master Glossary".

[MS-OXGLOS] Microsoft Corporation, "Exchange Server Protocols Master Glossary".

[MS-OXPROTO] Microsoft Corporation, "Exchange Server Protocols System Overview".

[RFC4346] Dierks, T., and Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1", RFC 4346, April 2006, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt

1.3   Overview

Client applications use SMTP to transfer mail to a server for submission. Client applications that connect to an SMTP server can use a number of different authentication mechanisms. In some scenarios, clients can use existing authentication mechanisms to authenticate with the SMTP server, such as the **NT LAN Manager (NTLM) Authentication Protocol**. However, in other scenarios, existing authentication mechanisms are unavailable or clients may not implement them. This extension provides an authentication mechanism for SMTP clients that is simple to implement.

The SMTP Service Extension for Authentication, as specified in [RFC4954], defines a service extension to SMTP, as specified in [RFC5321], where a client specifies an authentication method to the server and performs an authentication protocol exchange. This extension is one such authentication method for SMTP. It allows clients to authenticate to SMTP servers over a standard SMTP connection by passing authentication information in SMTP commands and responses.

1.4   Relationship to Other Protocols

This extension uses the methods provided by the SMTP Service for Authentication, as specified in [RFC4954], to extend SMTP, as specified in [RFC5321], by providing a new authentication method. This extension relies on SMTP to provide the transport for the authentication commands and responses.

For conceptual background information and overviews of the relationships and interactions between this and other protocols, see [MS-OXPROTO].

1.5   Prerequisites/Preconditions

This extension conforms to all of the prerequisites and preconditions of SMTP, as specified in [RFC5321], and the extension to SMTP provided by the SMTP Service for Authentication, as specified in [RFC4954].

1.6   Applicability Statement

This extension is used by clients to support authentication to SMTP servers that implement the AUTH LOGIN extension. This extension is used by SMTP servers to provide an authentication method to control access to the SMTP service.

Since this extension does not encrypt the password sent over the network, it is only applicable to environments where a secure channel exists under the SMTP connection, such as **Transport Layer Security (TLS)**, as specified in [RFC4346].

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

None.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

None.

1.9 Standards Assignments

This extension defines a **SASL** mechanism for use with the SMTP Service Extension for Authentication.

Parameter	Value	Reference
SASL mechanism	LOGIN	http://www.iana.org/assignments/sasl-mechanisms/sasl-mechanisms.xml

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

This extension does not change the base transport specified by [RFC5321], or its extension specified by [RFC4954].

2.2 Message Syntax

2.2.1 SASL Mechanism Name

The SASL mechanism name for this extension is defined as "LOGIN".

2.2.2 Command and Response ABNF Grammar

This section uses **Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF)** (as specified in [RFC5234]) to define the format of commands and responses used by this extension, where CRLF, SP, and CHAR are specified in [RFC5234]. Note that the values of *username* and *password* MUST be encoded using **base64 encoding**, as specified in [RFC4648], before being transmitted.

```
username                       = 1*CHAR            ; Base64-encoded username
password                       = 1*CHAR            ; Base64-encoded password

auth_login_command             = "AUTH LOGIN" [SP username] CRLF
auth_login_username_challenge  = "334 VXNlcm5hbWU6" CRLF
auth_login_username_response   = username CRLF
auth_login_password_challenge  = "334 UGFzc3dvcmQ6" CRLF
auth_login_password_response   = password CRLF
```

The auth_login_command ABNF rule is consistent with the AUTH command syntax specified in [RFC4954], where the mechanism parameter is "LOGIN" and the initial-response parameter is the base64-encoded username.

3 Protocol Details

This extension defines both a client and server role. The choice of which roles to support is implementation-specific.<1>

3.1 Client Details

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

The client maintains the following state for a given connection to an SMTP server:

Username: The username provided by the application or higher-layer protocol.

Password: The password provided by the application or higher-layer protocol.

3.1.2 Timers

None.

3.1.3 Initialization

None.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

3.1.4.1 Initiating Authentication

When the client initiates authentication, it MUST compose an AUTH command that conforms to the auth_login_command ABNF rule, as specified in section 2.2.2. The client SHOULD include the **Username** (encoded with base64 encoding) in the command. It MAY<2> instead omit the **Username**.

3.1.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

This extension does not change the message processing events or sequencing rules of messages specified in [RFC4954].

3.1.5.1 Receiving a Server Challenge

When the client receives a 334 response, as specified in [RFC4954] section 6, it SHOULD check whether the response matches the format specified by the auth_login_username_challenge or auth_login_password_challenge ABNF rules, as specified in section 2.2.2. If the response does not match either format, it SHOULD cancel the authentication, as specified in [RFC4954]. The client MAY<3> instead simply assume that the server challenges are in the proper format, according to the following rules:

- If the client omits the **Username** in the auth_login_command, the client assumes that the first server challenge matches the auth_login_username_challenge ABNF rule and any subsequent

server challenge matches the auth_login_password_challenge ABNF rule. The client MAY cancel the authentication if a third server challenge is received.

- If the client includes the **Username** in the auth_login_command, the client assumes that the first server challenge matches the auth_login_password_challenge ABNF rule. The client MAY cancel the authentication if a second server challenge is received.

In response to a challenge that matches the auth_login_username_challenge ABNF rule, the client MUST send a response that conforms to the auth_login_username_response ABNF rule with the **Username**, as specified in section 2.2.2.

In response to a challenge that matches the auth_login_password_challenge ABNF rule, the client MUST send a response that conforms to the auth_login_password_response ABNF rule with the **Password**, as specified in section 2.2.2.

3.1.6 Timer Events

None.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

None.

3.2 Server Details

The following state machine diagram illustrates the states used in the authentication process.

Figure 1: Server state machine diagram

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

The server maintains the following global state:

List of SASL Mechanisms: The list of SASL mechanisms names to be returned in an EHLO response, as specified in [RFC5321].

For each connection from an SMTP client, the server has access to a set of authorized credentials consisting of a username and password. In addition, the server maintains the following state for each connection:

Substate: The state of the authentication, which can be either AuthReceived or UsernameReceived.

Username: The base64-encoded username value provided by the client.

3.2.2 Timers

None.

3.2.3 Initialization

When the server is initialized, it MUST place "LOGIN" in its **List of SASL Mechanisms** abstract data model element.

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.2.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

3.2.5.1 Processing AUTH LOGIN

When the server receives an AUTH command that conforms to the auth_login_command ABNF rule specified in section 2.2.2, it MUST respond according to the following rules.

1. If the username is not included in the command, the server MUST set the **Substate** to AuthReceived and send a response that conforms to the auth_login_username_challenge ABNF rule.

2. If the username is included in the command, the server MUST save the username in the **Username** associated with the connection, set the **Substate** to UsernameReceived, and send a response that conforms to the auth_login_password_challenge ABNF rule.

3.2.5.2 Processing a Request in the AuthReceived State

When the server receives a request in the AuthReceived state, the server MUST attempt to parse it according to the auth_login_username_response ABNF rule specified in section 2.2.2. The server MUST save the username in the **Username** associated with the connection, set the **Substate** to UsernameReceived, and send a response that conforms to the auth_login_password_challenge ABNF rule..

3.2.5.3 Processing a Request in the UsernameReceived State

When the server receives a request in the UsernameReceived state, the server MUST attempt to parse it according to the auth_login_password_response ABNF rule specified in section 2.2.2. The server MUST attempt to base64-decode the **Username** associated with the connection and the password included in the request and check that the **Username** corresponds to a valid user and that the password is a valid password for that user. The process of validating the **Username** and password is implementation-specific.

If the username and password are valid, the server MUST end the authentication by responding with a 235 response, as specified in [RFC4954] section 6. If the username or password is invalid, the server MUST end the authentication by responding with a 535 response, as specified in [RFC4954] section 6.

3.2.6 Timer Events

None.

3.2.7 Other Local Events

None.

4 Protocol Example

The following is an example of the use of the AUTH LOGIN extension. The example demonstrates SMTP authentication using the AUTH LOGIN extension. In this example, the user name is "Charlie" and the password is "password". The following diagram illustrates the sequence of events following the client's initial connection to the SMTP server.

Figure 2: Example Authentication Exchange

1. The initial response by the SMTP server ("220 SMTP.example.com") is the greeting by the server as specified in [RFC5321].

2. The client sends the EHLO command.

3. The server responds with, among other things, an indication of support for AUTH LOGIN.

4. The client then issues the AUTH LOGIN command. In this example, the client omits the username in the AUTH LOGIN command.

5. The server responds with the username challenge.

6. The client responds with "Q2hhcmxpZQ==", which is the username "Charlie", encoded with base64 encoding.

7. The server stores the value "Q2hhcmxpZQ==" then issues the password challenge.

8. The client responds with "cGFzc3dvcmQ=", which is the password "password", encoded with base64 encoding.

9. The server base64-decodes the username and password and verifies that the username "Charlie" and the password "password" are valid credentials. The server then responds with "235 authentication successful".

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

This extension offers no inherent security mechanisms to protect user credentials during authentication. Because of this, it is extremely important to only use this extension when also using a secure communication channel such as Transport Layer Security (TLS), as specified in [RFC4346].

In environments where the use of TLS or other external security is mandated, it is strongly recommended that the AUTH LOGIN advertisement be suppressed until a secure channel is negotiated. TLS in particular exhibits this behavior where the SMTP session is restarted after TLS is negotiated.

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

Security parameter	Section
SASL mechanism name	section 2.2.1
Username	section 3.1.1
Password	section 3.1.1

6   Appendix A: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include released service packs:

- Microsoft Exchange Server 2003

- Microsoft Exchange Server 2007

- Microsoft Exchange Server 2010

- Microsoft Exchange Server 2013

- Microsoft Office Outlook 2003

- Microsoft Office Outlook 2007

- Microsoft Outlook 2010

- Microsoft Outlook 2013

- Microsoft .NET Framework 2.0

- Microsoft .NET Framework 3.5

- Microsoft .NET Framework 4

- Microsoft .NET Framework 4.5

- Windows 2000 Professional operating system

- Windows XP operating system

- Windows Vista operating system

- Windows 7 operating system

- Windows 8 operating system

- Windows 8.1

- Windows 2000 Server operating system

- Windows Server 2003 operating system

- Windows Server 2008 operating system

- Windows Server 2012 operating system

- Windows Server 2012 R2

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack or Quick Fix Engineering (QFE) number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack or QFE. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD

or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

<1> Section 3: Exchange 2003, Exchange 2007, Exchange 2010, and Exchange 2013 only implement the server role. Office Outlook 2003, Office Outlook 2007, Outlook 2010, Outlook 2013, .NET Framework 2.0, .NET Framework 3.5, .NET Framework 4, .NET Framework 4.5, Windows Vista, Windows 7, and Windows 8 only implement the client role. Windows 2000 Professional, Windows XP, Windows 2000 Server, Windows Server 2003, Windows Server 2008, and Windows Server 2012 implement both client and server roles.

<2> Section 3.1.4.1: Office Outlook 2003, Office Outlook 2007, Outlook 2010, Outlook 2013, and inetcomm.dll in Windows 2000 Professional, Windows XP, Windows Vista, Windows 7, Windows 8, Windows 2000 Server, Windows Server 2003, Windows Server 2008, and Windows Server 2012 do not include the username in the initial AUTH command.

<3> Section 3.1.5.1: .NET Framework 2.0, .NET Framework 3.5, .NET Framework 4, and .NET Framework 4.5 do not verify the syntax of 334 responses and instead keep state to remember whether it is the first server challenge or a subsequent server challenge.

7 Change Tracking

No table of changes is available. The document is either new or has had no changes since its last release.

8 Index

V