[MS-EUMR]:

Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Extensions

Intellectual Property Rights Notice for Open Specifications Documentation

- Technical Documentation. Microsoft publishes Open Specifications documentation for protocols, file formats, languages, standards as well as overviews of the interaction among each of these technologies.
- **Copyrights**. This documentation is covered by Microsoft copyrights. Regardless of any other terms that are contained in the terms of use for the Microsoft website that hosts this documentation, you may make copies of it in order to develop implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications and may distribute portions of it in your implementations using these technologies or your documentation as necessary to properly document the implementation. You may also distribute in your implementation, with or without modification, any schema, IDL's, or code samples that are included in the documentation. This permission also applies to any documents that are referenced in the Open Specifications.
- No Trade Secrets. Microsoft does not claim any trade secret rights in this documentation.
- Patents. Microsoft has patents that may cover your implementations of the technologies described in the Open Specifications. Neither this notice nor Microsoft's delivery of the documentation grants any licenses under those or any other Microsoft patents. However, a given Open Specification may be covered by Microsoft Open Specification Promise or the Community Promise. If you would prefer a written license, or if the technologies described in the Open Specifications are not covered by the Open Specifications Promise or Community Promise, as applicable, patent licenses are available by contacting iplq@microsoft.com.
- Trademarks. The names of companies and products contained in this documentation may be covered by trademarks or similar intellectual property rights. This notice does not grant any licenses under those rights. For a list of Microsoft trademarks, visit www.microsoft.com/trademarks.
- Fictitious Names. The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted in this documentation are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

Reservation of Rights. All other rights are reserved, and this notice does not grant any rights other than specifically described above, whether by implication, estoppel, or otherwise.

Tools. The Open Specifications do not require the use of Microsoft programming tools or programming environments in order for you to develop an implementation. If you have access to Microsoft programming tools and environments you are free to take advantage of them. Certain Open Specifications are intended for use in conjunction with publicly available standard specifications and network programming art, and assumes that the reader either is familiar with the aforementioned material or has immediate access to it.

Revision Summary

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
12/12/2008	1.0		Initial version
2/13/2009	1.01		Revised and edited the technical content
3/13/2009	1.02		Edited the technical content
7/13/2009	1.03	Major	Revised and edited the technical content
8/28/2009	1.04	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
11/6/2009	1.05	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
2/19/2010	1.06	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
3/31/2010	1.07	Major	Updated and revised the technical content
4/30/2010	1.08	Editorial	Revised and edited the technical content
6/7/2010	1.09	Minor	Updated the technical content
6/29/2010	1.10	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
7/23/2010	1.10	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
9/27/2010	2.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.
11/15/2010	2.0	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
12/17/2010	2.0	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
3/18/2011	2.0	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
6/10/2011	2.0	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
1/20/2012	2.1	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
4/11/2012	2.1	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
7/16/2012	2.1	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/8/2012	2.1.1	Editorial	Changed language and formatting in the technical content.
2/11/2013	2.1.1	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
7/30/2013	2.2	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
11/18/2013	2.3	Minor	Clarified the meaning of the technical content.
2/10/2014	2.3	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.

Date	Revision History	Revision Class	Comments
4/30/2014	2.3	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
7/31/2014	2.3	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
10/30/2014	2.3	No Change	No changes to the meaning, language, or formatting of the technical content.
3/30/2015	3.0	Major	Significantly changed the technical content.

Table of Contents

1	Intro	duction	. 6
	1.1	Glossary	. 6
	1.2	References	. 8
	1.2.1	Normative References	. 8
	1.2.2	Informative References	. 8
	1.3	Overview	
	1.4	Relationship to Other Protocols	
	1.5	Prerequisites/Preconditions	
	1.6	Applicability Statement	
	1.7	Versioning and Capability Negotiation	
	1.8	Vendor-Extensible Fields	
	1.9	Standards Assignments	ΤÛ
2	Mess	ages1	L1
	2.1	Transport	
	2.2	Message Syntax	
	2.2.1		11
	2.2.2		
	2.2.2	·	
		.3.2 User Event Description Element	
		.3.3 Event Type Attribute	
	2.2.4		
		.4.1 From Header Field	
		.4.2 Request-URI Header Field	
		.4.3 SDP Content	
	2.2.5	User Notification INVITE Response	14
	2.2.6	Option Tag Extensions	1 /
	2.2.0	Option ray extensions	74
2		• •	
3	Proto	ocol Details1	L5
3	Prot 6	ocol Details	L5 15
3	Proto 3.1 3.1.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details	15 15 15
3	Proto 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details	15 15 15 15
3	Proto 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details	15 15 15 15
3	Proto 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events	15 15 15 15 15
3	Proto 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	15 15 15 15 15
3	Proto 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server	15 15 15 15 15 15
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report	15 15 15 15 15 16 16
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response	15 15 15 15 15 16 16
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report	15 15 15 15 15 16 16 16
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report .5.5 Processing a 415 Response	15 15 15 15 15 16 16 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report	15 15 15 15 15 16 16 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report .5.5 Processing a 415 Response	15 15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	15 15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	L5 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.3 3.1 3.1	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report .5.5 Processing a 415 Response .5.6 Processing Other Responses .5.7 Retrying a Request Timer Events Other Local Events User Notification Extensions Details Abstract Data Model	L5 15 15 15 15 16 17 17 17 17
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model. Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report .5.5 Processing a 415 Response .5.6 Processing Other Responses .5.7 Retrying a Request Timer Events Other Local Events User Notification Extensions Details Abstract Data Model Timers	L5 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	L5 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules .5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server .5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report .5.3 Processing a 302 Response .5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report .5.5 Processing a 415 Response .5.6 Processing Other Responses .5.7 Retrying a Request Timer Events Other Local Events User Notification Extensions Details Abstract Data Model Timers Initialization Higher-Layer Triggered Events .4.1 Missed Call Event	15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2 3	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	L5 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 19
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2 3.2.4 3.2 3.2.4 3.2	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	L5 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18 19 19
3	Prote 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1.7 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3.2 3 3 3 3	Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details Abstract Data Model	L5 15 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18 19 19

	3	3.2.4.3.1 SIP Proxy Operation	20
	3.2.5		
	3.2.6		20
	3.2.7	Other Local Events	20
4	Drote	ocol Examples	21
	4.1	Missed Call Event	21
		Call Answered Event	
	4.3	Call Forbidden Event.	
_	6		
		ırity	
	5.1	Security Considerations for Implementers	23
		Index of Security Parameters	
6	Appe	endix A: Full User Notification Format	24
7	Appe	endix B: Product Behavior	25
ŏ	Cnan	nge Tracking	
9	Inde	2 X	29

1 Introduction

The Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Extensions Protocol specifies extensions, which consist of proprietary application extensions for routing calls to Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) voice mail and generating user notifications based on the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) INFO method.

Sections 1.8, 2, and 3 of this specification are normative and can contain the terms MAY, SHOULD, MUST, MUST NOT, and SHOULD NOT as defined in [RFC2119]. Sections 1.5 and 1.9 are also normative but do not contain those terms. All other sections and examples in this specification are informative.

1.1 Glossary

The following terms are specific to this document:

200 OK: A response to indicate that the request has succeeded.

address-of-record: A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) URI that specifies a domain with a location service that can map the URI to another URI for a user, as described in [RFC3261].

Audio/Video Edge Server (A/V Edge Server): A protocol server that implements the Traversal Using Relay NAT (TURN) Extensions Protocol, as described in [MS-TURN]. The protocol server provides connectivity to a protocol client that is behind a network entity, if the network entity provides network address translation (NAT).

Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF): A modified version of Backus-Naur Form (BNF), commonly used by Internet specifications. ABNF notation balances compactness and simplicity with reasonable representational power. ABNF differs from standard BNF in its definitions and uses of naming rules, repetition, alternatives, order-independence, and value ranges. For more information, see [RFC5234].

authentication: The act of proving an identity to a server while providing key material that binds the identity to subsequent communications.

caller: An endpoint that initiates a call to establish a media session.

Coordinated Universal Time (UTC): A high-precision atomic time standard that approximately tracks Universal Time (UT). It is the basis for legal, civil time all over the Earth. Time zones around the world are expressed as positive and negative offsets from UTC. In this role, it is also referred to as Zulu time (Z) and Greenwich Mean Time (GMT). In these specifications, all references to UTC refer to the time at UTC-0 (or GMT).

dial plan: The rules that govern the translation of dial strings into **SIP** and tel **URIs**, either global or local, as described in [RFC3966].

dialog: A peer-to-peer **Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)** relationship that exists between two user agents and persists for a period of time. A dialog is established by SIP messages, such as a 2xx response to an INVITE request, and is identified by a call identifier, a local tag, and a remote tag.

endpoint: A device that is connected to a computer network.

fully qualified domain name (FQDN): An unambiguous domain name (2) that gives an absolute location in the Domain Name System's (DNS) hierarchy tree, as defined in [RFC1035] section 3.1 and [RFC2181] section 11.

Globally Routable User Agent URI (GRUU): A **URI** that identifies a user agent and is globally routable. A URI possesses a GRUU property if it is useable by any **user agent client (UAC)** that is connected to the Internet, routable to a specific user agent instance, and long-lived.

- **in-band provisioning**: A process in which a protocol client obtains configuration information from a protocol server.
- **Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4)**: An Internet protocol that has 32-bit source and destination addresses. IPv4 is the predecessor of IPv6.
- **Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6)**: A revised version of the Internet Protocol (IP) designed to address growth on the Internet. Improvements include a 128-bit IP address size, expanded routing capabilities, and support for **authentication** and privacy.
- **INVITE**: A **Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)** method that is used to invite a user or a service to participate in a session.
- **long-term credentials**: A set of user-authentication credentials that consist of a user name and password, and are used by a protocol client to authenticate with a protocol server.
- **Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME)**: A set of extensions that redefines and expands support for various types of content in email messages, as described in [RFC2046], and <a href="[RFC2047].
- **proxy**: A computer, or the software that runs on it, that acts as a barrier between a network and the Internet by presenting only a single network address to external sites. By acting as a gobetween that represents all internal computers, the proxy helps protects network identities while also providing access to the Internet.
- **QoE Monitoring Server**: A server that collects and processes **Quality of Experience (QoE)** metrics.
- Quality of Experience (QoE): A subjective measure of a user's experiences with a media service.
- **server**: A computer on which the remote procedure call (RPC) server is executing.
- **Session Description Protocol (SDP)**: A protocol that is used for session announcement, session invitation, and other forms of multimedia session initiation. For more information see [MS-SDP] and [RFC3264].
- **Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)**: An application-layer control (signaling) protocol for creating, modifying, and terminating sessions with one or more participants. **SIP** is defined in [RFC3261].
- **token**: A word in an item or a search query that translates into a meaningful word or number in written text. A token is the smallest textual unit that can be matched in a search query. Examples include "cat", "AB14", or "42".
- **Uniform Resource Identifier (URI)**: A string that identifies a resource. The URI is an addressing mechanism defined in Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax [RFC3986].
- user agent client (UAC): A logical entity that creates a new request, and then uses the client transaction state machinery to send it. The role of UAC lasts only for the duration of that transaction. In other words, if a piece of software initiates a request, it acts as a UAC for the duration of that transaction. If it receives a request later, it assumes the role of a user agent server (UAS) for the processing of that transaction.
- **XML**: The Extensible Markup Language, as described in [XML1.0].
- **XML schema**: A description of a type of XML document that is typically expressed in terms of constraints on the structure and content of documents of that type, in addition to the basic syntax constraints that are imposed by **XML** itself. An XML schema provides a view of a document type at a relatively high level of abstraction.

MAY, SHOULD, MUST, SHOULD NOT, MUST NOT: These terms (in all caps) are used as defined in [RFC2119]. All statements of optional behavior use either MAY, SHOULD, or SHOULD NOT.

1.2 References

1.2.1 Normative References

We conduct frequent surveys of the normative references to assure their continued availability. If you have any issue with finding a normative reference, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com. We will assist you in finding the relevant information.

[IETFDRAFT-DIISIP-08] Levy, S. and Yang, J. R., "Diversion Indication in Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", draft-levey-sip-diversion-08, February 2005, http://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-levy-sip-diversion-08.txt

[MS-AVEDGEA] Microsoft Corporation, "Audio Video Edge Authentication Protocol".

[MS-QoE] Microsoft Corporation, "Quality of Experience Monitoring Server Protocol".

[MS-SIPREGE] Microsoft Corporation, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Registration Extensions".

[MS-SIPRE] Microsoft Corporation, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Routing Extensions".

[MS-TURN] Microsoft Corporation, "Traversal Using Relay NAT (TURN) Extensions".

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[RFC2327] Handley, M. and Jacobson, V., "SDP: Session Description Protocol", RFC 2327, April 1998, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2327.txt

[RFC2976] Donovan, S., "The SIP INFO Method", RFC 2976, October 2000, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2976.txt

[RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and Schooler, E., "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261, June 2002, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3261.txt

[RFC3892] Sparks, R., "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Referred-By Mechanism", RFC 3892, September 2004, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3892.txt

1.2.2 Informative References

[RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed., and Overell, P., "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008, http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5234.txt

[XML10] World Wide Web Consortium, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Third Edition)", February 2004, http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-20040204/

[XMLNS] Bray, T., Hollander, D., Layman, A., et al., Eds., "Namespaces in XML 1.0 (Third Edition)", W3C Recommendation, December 2009, http://www.w3.org/TR/2009/REC-xml-names-20091208/

[XMLSCHEMA0] Fallside, D., and Walmsley, P., Eds., "XML Schema Part 0: Primer, Second Edition", W3C Recommendation, October 2004, http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-0-20041028/

1.3 Overview

This protocol specifies **Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)** extensions that are used to route calls to Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) and to generate user notification email messages on call events.

These voice mail routing extensions have been designed to route calls to Exchange UM servers based on SIP. They provide mechanisms to identify the voice mail box for deposit and provide means for communicating the **Audio/Video Edge Server (A/V Edge Server)** information that can be used by UM servers while talking to external **callers**. These extensions are described in detail in section 3.1.

This protocol provides a way for a SIP **proxy** that implements the protocol described in [MS-SIPRE] to use UM servers to generate call notification email messages if one of the following events occurs during the processing of a call:

- the user missed the call because the caller hung up before the call could be routed to voice mail or otherwise answered.
- the call was answered by one of the targets specified in the user's routing script preamble.
 Routing script preamble and call processing extensions are described in [MS-SIPRE].
- the proxy was unable to route to one of the targets specified in the routing script preamble because of the lack of authorization.

These extensions are described in section 3.2.

1.4 Relationship to Other Protocols

This protocol defines an **XML schema** that supports various extensions specified in this protocol. For more information about **XML**, see [XML10], [XMLNS], and [XMLSCHEMA0].

The voice mail routing extensions specified in this protocol augment the routing extensions for routing calls based on the Routing Script Preamble as described in [MS-SIPRE].

This protocol incorporates the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) protocols.

1.5 Prerequisites/Preconditions

This protocol assumes that the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) server implements the Session Initiation Protocol Routing Extensions as described in [MS-SIPRE]. This protocol also assumes that the **server** has knowledge of each voice mail **dial plan** in the enterprise, the Unified Messaging (UM) servers associated with each dial plan, and which dial plan each user is associated with.

The prerequisites for SIP are also applicable for this protocol.

1.6 Applicability Statement

This protocol is applicable when both the SIP protocol server and the voicemail server support SIP, and intend to use the enhancements offered by this protocol.

1.7 Versioning and Capability Negotiation

None.

1.8 Vendor-Extensible Fields

None.

1.9	Standards Assignments			
None	None.			

2 Messages

2.1 Transport

This protocol does not introduce a new transport to exchange messages, but is capable of being used with any transport used by Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for **Internet Protocol version 4** (IPv4)/Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6)<1>.

2.2 Message Syntax

2.2.1 Ms-Mras-Address Header Field

This protocol defines a new header field called **Ms-Mras-Address**. The **Ms-Mras-Address** header field identifies the Audio/Video Edge Server (A/V Edge Server) that can be used by the voice mail server when the caller **endpoint** is external to the enterprise.

The following example is the **Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF)**, as defined in [RFC5234], for the **Ms-Mras-Address** header field:

```
Ms-Mras-Address = "Ms-Mras-Address" HCOLON LWS LAQUOT SIP-URI RAQUOT
```

HCOLON, LWS, LAQUOT and RAQUOT are defined in [RFC3261] section 25.1.

The voice mail server can use the A/V Edge Server information to obtain **authentication tokens**, as specified in <a href="mailto:[MS-AVEDGEA].<2">[MS-AVEDGEA].<2

2.2.2 Request-URI Header Field

This protocol defines certain restrictions on the **Request-URI** field value while routing an **INVITE** to a Unified Messaging (UM) server for a user. The **user** portion of the **URI** MUST be the name of the dial plan to which the user belongs. The **host** portion MUST be the UM server **fully qualified domain name (FQDN)** and the **port** portion MUST be "5061". The URI MUST have a **transport parameter** with a value "tls". The URI MUST have a **maddr** parameter with the UM server FQDN as its value. URI parameters are specified in [RFC3261] section 19.1.1.

2.2.3 User Notification Extensions

This section describes the User Notification Extensions used to notify the Unified Messaging (UM) server about user call events. The UM servers use this information to generate the following types of email messages:

- Missed call email messages
- Call Answered email messages
- Call Forbidden email messages

The User Notification events are delivered as **application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml** content in the body of Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) INFO messages, as specified in [RFC2976]. The complete schema is defined in section $\underline{6}$. Each notification message is generated as a result of some notification-worthy call event occurring while processing an audio INVITE request.

2.2.3.1 User Notification Description Element

Each INFO message contains a description of one User Notification Event. The **User** element MUST be a valid Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) URI that identifies the user that will receive the email notification. If the **EumProxyAddress** element is present, it MUST be the address string used by Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) to uniquely identify the user. The **Time** element MUST be a string that corresponds to the time the event occurred in **Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)**.

The following schema definition is for a **user-notification-type** element:

2.2.3.2 User Event Description Element

Each **Event** element MUST include **CallId** and **From** elements. The **CallId** element MUST contain the **Call-ID** header field value of the INVITE request associated with the event. The **From** element MUST contain the **From** header field value of the INVITE request associated with the event. If the **Subject** element is present, it MUST contain the **Subject** header field value in the corresponding INVITE. If the **Priority** element is present, it MUST contain the **Priority** header field value in the corresponding INVITE. If the **Conversation-ID** header field value in the corresponding INVITE. If the **ReferredBy** element is present, it MUST contain the **referrer-uri** of the **Referred-By** header, as specified in [RFC3892], in the corresponding INVITE. If the **Target** element is present, it MUST contain the **Request-URI** used when routing the INVITE associated with the event.

If the **AnsweredBy** element is present, it MUST contain the URI present in the **P-Asserted-Identity** header found in the response to the INVITE.

The following schema definition is for an **event-type** element:

2.2.3.3 Event Type Attribute

Each **Event** element MUST contain a **type** attribute. If the **type** attribute has the value "answered", the **Target, TargetClass**, and **AnsweredBy** elements MUST be present in the parent **Event**. If the

type attribute has the value "forbidden", the **Target** and **TargetClass** elements MUST be present in the parent **Event**.

The following schema definition is for an **event-type-attribute-type** attribute:

2.2.4 User Notification INVITE Request

2.2.4.1 From Header Field

This protocol specifies additional restrictions on the **From** header field syntax, as specified in [RFC3261], for the INVITE **dialog** established to send user event notifications to Unified Messaging (UM) servers.

The original Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF), as defined in [RFC5234], for **from-spec**, as specified in [RFC3261] section 25, is replaced with the following:

```
from-spec = spl-user-identity *(SEMI from-param)
spl-user-identity = LAQUOT "sip" HCOLON "A410AA79-D874-4e56-9B46-709BDD0EB850"
RAOUOT
```

HCOLON, LAQUOT, RAQUOT and SEMI are defined in [RFC3261] section 25.1.

2.2.4.2 Request-URI Header Field

This protocol specifies additional restrictions on the **Request-URI** header field syntax, as specified in [RFC3261], for the INVITE dialog established to send user event notifications to Unified Messaging (UM) servers. The **user** portion of the **Request-URI** header field MUST be empty. The **host** portion MUST be the fully qualified domain name (FQDN) of the UM server and the **transport parameter** MUST have the value "tls". In addition, the **Request-URI** MUST have an **opaque parameter** with a **value** of "app:rtcevent". URI parameters are specified in [RFC3261] section 19.1.1.

2.2.4.3 SDP Content

The INVITE dialog that is established to send user event notifications to Unified Messaging (UM) servers MUST contain a **Session Description Protocol (SDP)** body, as specified in [RFC2327].

The SDP body MUST include a **media-field** with the following values:

- the value of **media** MUST be "application".
- the value of **port** MUST be "9".
- the value of **proto** MUST be "SIP".
- only one fmt is present, and its value is "*".

The SDP body MUST also have the following attribute values:

- an attribute line with "sendonly" as the value of att-field.
- an **attribute** line with "accept-types" as the value of **att-field** and "application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml" as the value of **att-value**.

2.2.5 User Notification INVITE Response

The **200 OK** response from the Unified Messaging (UM) server MUST also contain a Session Description Protocol (SDP) body.

The SDP body MUST include a **media-field** with the following values:

- the value of **media** MUST be "application".
- the value of port MUST be "9".
- the value of proto MUST be "SIP".
- only one fmt is present, and its value is "*".

The SDP body MUST also have the following attribute values:

- an attribute line with "recvonly" as the value of att-field.
- an attribute line with "accept-types" as the value of att-field and "application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml" as the value of att-value.
- an attribute line with "ms-rtc-accept-eventtemplates" as the value of att-field and "RtcDefault" as the value of att-value.

2.2.6 Option Tag Extensions

This protocol defines one new option tag for use in the **Supported** header field. The new tag extends the set of option tags as specified in [RFC3261].

Ms-Fe: This option tag is for supporting the routing extensions described in this protocol. The inclusion of this tag in the **Supported** header field of the INVITE request routed to the voice mail server indicates that the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy adheres to the specifications of this protocol. <3>

3 Protocol Details

3.1 Extensions for Routing to Exchange Unified Messaging Details

An incoming audio INVITE is processed based on a routing script preamble published by the user, as specified in [MS-SIPRE]. Under various circumstances, the proxy can decide to route the call to the user's voice mail, as specified in [MS-SIPRE] section 3.8.5. The extensions for routing to Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) are also applicable when the **Request-URI** matches the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) rules, as defined in [RFC5234], for **voice-mail-gruu** syntax, as specified in [MS-SIPRE] section 2.2.3. This protocol is not applicable if the user is not UM-enabled.

This protocol provides a mechanism for routing such calls to Exchange UM if the user is UM-enabled. This protocol also defines a mechanism for providing the UM server with the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) URI of anAudio/Video Edge Server (A/V Edge Server), if available. The UM server uses this URI to obtain authentication tokens when needed, as specified in [MS-AVEDGEA].

3.1.1 Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy compliant with this protocol maintains a database of all the dial plans in the enterprise and all the Unified Messaging (UM) servers in each dial plan. In addition, the proxy also maintains a mapping of all UM-enabled users and their corresponding dial plans. In addition, if any Audio/Video Edge Servers (A/V Edge Servers) are present in the deployment, the SIP proxy stores the **Globally Routable User Agent URI (GRUU)** of the A/V Edge Server in memory.

3.1.2 Timers

A **Unified Messaging server** timer starts when the INVITE is routed to a Unified Messaging (UM) server. The amount of time to wait MUST be less than 180 seconds. The recommended wait time is 5 seconds.

3.1.3 Initialization

None.

3.1.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

None.

3.1.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

When the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy routes an INVITE to voice mail based on rules as specified in [MS-SIPRE] or when an INVITE with a **voice-mail-gruu** (as specified in [MS-SIPRE] section 2.2.3) arrives, and the user is enabled for Exchange Unified Messaging (UM), the proxy MUST process the request as follows:

 If the INVITE already contains any **Diversion** headers, as specified in [IETFDRAFT-DIISIP-08], these headers MUST be removed before forwarding the request to Exchange UM.

- 2. If the **user** and **host** portions of the **Request-URI** field are not the same as those of the **From** header field, the proxy MUST add a **Diversion** header with **name-addr** equal to the SIP URI in the **Request-URI** field value without any **uri-parameters** or **headers**, as specified in [RFC3261].
- 3. The UM server can request the provisioning information from the SIP proxy to detect the Globally Routable User Agent URI (GRUU) of the Audio/Video Edge Server (A/V Edge Server).<4> The QoE Monitoring Server protocol and the message format for in-band provisioning requests to obtain provisioning information are specified in [MS-SIPREGE] section 3.3.
- 4. If an A/V Edge Server is configured, the proxy MUST add an **Ms-Mras-Address** header with the value of the A/V Edge Server GRUU, as specified in [MS-SIPRE]. The **Ms-Mras-address** header in the incoming INVITE can be the secondary source to detect the A/V Edge Server GRUU in the absence of provisioning information.<5>
- 5. The proxy SHOULD<6> include the **Ms-Fe** option tag in the **Supported** header field of the request if one is not already present.
- 6. The proxy MUST decide on an ordering of the UM servers in the user's dial plan and route the INVITE to the first UM server. The **Request-URI** MUST be constructed as specified in section 2.2.2.
- 7. If there are multiple UM servers in the users dial plan with different versions, the proxy must restrict the ordering to UM servers with the highest version. Similarly, if at least one UM server in the user's dial plan is a front end or front end array, then the proxy SHOULD use only the front ends or arrays when determining the ordering <7>.
- 8. The proxy MUST start the **Unified Messaging server** timer.
- 9. Outgoing messages from Exchange UM can include an **Ms-fe** header parameter containing its specific fully qualified domain name (FQDN) value. The proxy MUST be able to handle the contact header with the **Ms-fe** parameter. The syntax and handling for the **Ms-Fe** parameter is specified in [MS-SIPRE].

3.1.5.1 Interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server

The Unified Messaging (UM) server can request **long-term credentials** from the Audio/Video Edge Server (A/V Edge Server).<8> The protocol and message format for requesting an authentication token is specified in [MS-AVEDGEA] section 2. When the caller endpoint is external to the enterprise, the UM server can use the long-term credentials obtained from the A/V Edge Server to communicate with the A/V Edge Server, as specified in [MS-TURN] section 3.

3.1.5.2 Publishing a Quality of Experience Report

If a QoE Monitoring Server is detected from provisioning data, the Unified Messaging (UM) server can publish a **Quality of Experience (QoE)** report at the end of every audio call to the QoE Monitoring Server.<9> The format and protocol for publishing a QoE report is specified in [MS-QoE] section 3.

3.1.5.3 Processing a 302 Response

The Unified Messaging (UM) server can return a 302 Redirect response to the INVITE. <10> The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy implementing this protocol MUST process the 302 response without sending it back to the caller. The INVITE MUST be redirected to the UM server identified in the **contact** header field value of the 302 response if the following conditions are met:

- the 302 response came from the UM server that was selected.
- there is only one contact listed in the 302 response.
- the contact header field value identifies a UM server that is in the user's dial plan.

• no more than four previous 302 responses were processed in relation to routing this INVITE to this particular UM server.

If any one of the preceding conditions is not met, the proxy MUST retry the next UM server, as specified in section 3.1.5.7.

3.1.5.4 Generating a 101 Progress Report

Any time the INVITE is routed to a new Unified Messaging (UM) server, the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy SHOULD generate a 101 Progress response and send it back to the calling **user agent client (UAC)**.

3.1.5.5 Processing a 415 Response

If a Unified Messaging (UM) server returns a 415 response and the INVITE has multipart **Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME)** content, the proxy MUST clear the UM server's ordering list and process the 415 response as specified in [MS-SIPRE] section 3.9.5.5. If a fresh INVITE is sent as a result of this processing, the proxy MUST redo the steps listed in section 3.1.5.

3.1.5.6 Processing Other Responses

Any response with a status code from 100 through 299, inclusive, MUST be processed as specified in [RFC3261] section 13.2.2.

If the Unified Messaging (UM) server returns any other response, the proxy MUST retry the request with the next server, as specified in section 3.1.5.7.

3.1.5.7 Retrying a Request

If the Unified Messaging (UM) server returns any failure response, as described in section 3.1.5.6, or if the **Unified Messaging server** timer expires, the next UM server in the list MUST be selected and the request MUST be routed to that server.

When selecting the next UM server, the UM server version and ordering to UM servers restrictions specified in step 7 of section 3.1.5 MUST be followed <11>.

If all servers in the list have been attempted, the call SHOULD be terminated with a response code $480.\underline{<12>}$

If the request was routed to a new UM server, the **Unified Messaging server** timer MUST be restarted.

3.1.6 Timer Events

When the **Unified Messaging server** timer expires, the request MUST be routed to the next Unified Messaging (UM) server in the list, as specified in section 3.1.5.7.

3.1.7 Other Local Events

None.

3.2 User Notification Extensions Details

This protocol specifies a mechanism for sending call event email notifications to users through Exchange Unified Messaging (UM). The event information is sent to the UM server by using the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) INFO method, as specified in [RFC2976], over an already established

SIP INVITE dialog. The body of the INFO message MUST adhere to the syntax specified in section 2.2.3. Call event notifications MUST NOT be sent for users who are not UM-enabled. The following events can trigger the User Notification extensions <13>:

- Missed call: The caller hung up before the call was answered or routed to voice mail.
- **Team/Delegate pick up:** The call was answered by a team member or delegate. Team and delegate ringing are specified in [MS-SIPRE].
- **Call Forwarded:** The call was answered by the target defined in the user's routing preamble as the forwarding destination.
- **Call Forwarding Failed:** An attempt to forward the call was made, but it failed because the configured destination was invalid or not permitted by administrative policy.

3.2.1 Abstract Data Model

This section describes a conceptual model of possible data organization that an implementation maintains to participate in this protocol. The described organization is provided to facilitate the explanation of how the protocol behaves. This document does not mandate that implementations adhere to this model as long as their external behavior is consistent with that described in this document.

A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy that implements this protocol MUST maintain a database of all dial plans in the enterprise and all the Unified Messaging (UM) servers in each dial plan. When a call event occurs that requires an email notification to be sent to the user, the proxy MUST establish a SIP INVITE dialog with one of the UM servers in the user's dial plan if one does not already exist. The information about the event is then sent to the UM server using the INFO method on this dialog.

3.2.2 Timers

A **User Notification Inactivity** timer MUST start when an INVITE dialog is established with a Unified Messaging (UM) server. The wait time for this timer is 10 minutes.

3.2.3 Initialization

A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy implementing this protocol MUST establish a SIP INVITE dialog with one of the Unified Messaging (UM) servers in the user's dial plan before sending the event information. The proxy can randomly pick a UM server from the list $\leq 14 \geq$. The proxy MUST establish a Mutual-TLS (MTLS) connection with the selected UM server and construct the INVITE as specified in section 2.2.4. After the INVITE dialog is established, the **User Notification Inactivity** timer MUST be started.

If a dialog already exists with a UM server in the user's dial plan, that dialog MUST be reused.

3.2.4 Higher-Layer Triggered Events

3.2.4.1 Missed Call Event

When processing an audio call targeted at a user, as specified in [MS-SIPRE], if the caller hangs up before the call was answered or routed to voice mail, information about the missed call event MUST be sent to the Unified Messaging (UM) server if the user is UM-enabled. A missed call event MUST also be raised if all destinations, as listed in the routing script preamble, returned a negative response code unless the INVITE has an **Ms-Sensitivity** header with the value "private-no-diversion", as specified in [MS-SIPRE].

3.2.4.1.1 SIP Proxy Operation

When a missed call event occurs for a user who is enabled for Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) on a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy compliant with this protocol, the proxy MUST send information about the missed call event to a UM server on the user's dial plan by sending an INFO request on an already existing INVITE dialog. If a dialog does not already exist, the proxy MUST establish one, as described in section 3.2.3.

The INFO request MUST have a **Content-Type** header with "application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml" as its value. The body of the request MUST conform to the User Notification format, as specified in section 2.2.3. If the caller hung up the call, the **MissedReason** element MUST contain "CallerReleased". If all destinations returned a negative response code, the **MissedReason** element MUST contain "Declined".

The **Subject**, **Priority**, **ConversationID**, and **ReferredBy** elements MUST be present if their corresponding headers are present in the INVITE associated with the event.

The **type** attribute of the **Event** element MUST be set to "missed".

After the INFO request has been sent, the **User Notification Inactivity** timer for that UM server MUST be restarted.

3.2.4.2 Call Answered Event

When processing an audio call targeted at a user, as specified in [MS-SIPRE], if the call was answered by a destination other than the registered endpoint associated with the **address-of-record** in the **Request-URI** header field or the simultaneous ring destination, information about the call answered event MUST be sent to the Unified Messaging (UM) server if the user is UM-enabled. A call is considered to be answered if one of the destinations responded with a 200, 303, or 605 response code.

3.2.4.2.1 SIP Proxy Operation

When a call answered event occurs for a user who is enabled for Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) on a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy compliant with this protocol, the proxy MUST send information about the call answered event to a UM server on the user's dial plan by sending an INFO request on an already existing INVITE dialog. If a dialog does not already exist, the proxy MUST establish one, as described in section 3.2.3.

The INFO request MUST have a **Content-Type** header with "application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml" as its value. The body of the request MUST conform to the User Notification format, as specified in section 2.2.3.

The **Target** and **TargetClass** elements MUST be present. The **Target** element MUST contain the **Request-URI** used when routing the INVITE. If the destination that answered the call was rung as a part of team or delegate ringing, the **TargetClass** MUST be "secondary". Otherwise, the **TargetClass** MUST be "primary".

If the response that triggered the call answered event has a **P-Asserted-Identity** header, the **AnsweredBy** element MUST contain the URI present in the **P-Asserted-Identity** header.

The **Subject**, **Priority**, **ConversationID**, and **ReferredBy** elements MUST be present if their corresponding headers are present in the INVITE associated with the event.

The **type** attribute of the **Event** element MUST be set to "answered".

After the INFO request has been sent, the **User Notification Inactivity** timer for that UM server MUST be restarted.

3.2.4.3 Call Forbidden Event

When processing an audio call targeted at a user, as specified in [MS-SIPRE], if the call was routed to a destination other than the registered endpoints associated with the address-of-record in the Request-URI header field and a 403 response was received on that client transaction, information about the call forbidden event MUST be sent to a Unified Messaging (UM) server if the user is UM-enabled.

3.2.4.3.1 SIP Proxy Operation

When a call forbidden event occurs for a user who is enabled for Exchange Unified Messaging (UM) on a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) proxy compliant with this protocol, the proxy MUST send information about the call forbidden event to a UM server on the user's dial plan by sending an INFO request on an already existing INVITE dialog. If a dialog does not already exist, the proxy MUST establish one, as described in section 3.2.3.

The INFO request MUST have a **Content-Type** header with "application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml" as its value. The body of the request MUST conform to the User Notification format, as specified in section 2.2.3.

The **Target** and **TargetClass** elements MUST be present. The **Target** element MUST contain the **Request-URI** used when routing the INVITE. If the destination was rung as a part of team or delegate ringing, the SIP proxy SHOULD NOT send a call forbidden event. If such an event is sent, the value of **TargetClass** MUST be "secondary". If the destination was not a part of team or delegate ringing, the value of **TargetClass** MUST be "primary".

The **Subject**, **Priority**, **ConversationID**, and **ReferredBy** elements MUST be present if their corresponding headers are present in the INVITE associated with the event.

The **type** attribute of the **Event** element MUST be set to "forbidden".

After the INFO request has been sent, the **User Notification Inactivity** timer for that UM server MUST be restarted.

3.2.5 Message Processing Events and Sequencing Rules

None.

3.2.6 Timer Events

When the **User Notification Inactivity** timer associated with a Unified Messaging (UM) server expires, the INVITE dialog SHOULD be terminated. If a new notification needs to be sent to this UM server later, a new INVITE dialog SHOULD be established with it.

3.2.7 Other Local Events

None.

4 Protocol Examples

4.1 Missed Call Event

The following example is an INFO dialog that includes a user notification of a missed call event. For more information, see section 3.2.4.1.

```
INFO sip:exchange-um1.contoso.com:5061;transport=Tls SIP/2.0
FROM: <sip:A410AA79-D874-4e56-9B46-709BDD0EB850>;epid=12E34CB0DB;taq=806bdbd128
TO: <sip:exchange-
uml.contoso.com;opaque=app:rtcevent;transport=tls>;epid=9EEC660CCD;tag=826c5fb8f
CSEQ: 7 INFO
CALL-ID: 63536088-9a24-4b36-a671-82c5de77de9c
CONTENT-TYPE: application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="us-ascii" ?>
  <UserNotification>
    <User>sip:user@example.com</User>
    <EumProxyAddress>EUM:user@contoso.com;phone-context=dp1.contoso.com</EumProxyAddress>
    <Time>2006-05-12 01:33:32Z</Time>
    <Template>RtcDefault</Template>
    <Event type="missed">
    <CallId>234d82934091df92034ad3e329fae03234</CallId>
      <From>sip:someuser@contoso.com</from>
      <Subject>RE: Quote for widgets</Subject>
      <ConversationID>Aca6SdRQ/SvHLJIHDHoWAEvg==</conversationID>
      <MissedReason>Declined</MissedReason>
    </Event>
  </UserNotification>
```

4.2 Call Answered Event

The following example is an INFO dialog that includes a user notification of an answered call event. For more information, see section 3.2.4.2.

```
INFO sip:exchange-um1.contoso.com:5061;transport=Tls SIP/2.0
FROM: <sip:A410AA79-D874-4e56-9B46-709BDD0EB850>;epid=12E34CB0DB;tag=806bdbd128
TO: <sip:exchange-
um1.contoso.com; opaque=app:rtcevent; transport=tls>; epid=9EEC660CCD; tag=826c5fb8f
CSEO: 6 INFO
CALL-ID: a7e36088-9a24-4b36-a671-82c5de77de9c
CONTENT-TYPE: application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="us-ascii" ?>
  <UserNotification>
    <User>sip:user@contoso.com</User>
    <EumProxyAddress>EUM:user@contoso.com;phone-context=dp1.contoso.com</EumProxyAddress>
    <Time>2006-05-02 12:53:32Z</Time>
    <Template>RtcDefault</Template>
    <Event type="answered">
    <CallId>234d82934091df92034ad3e329fae03234</CallId>
      <From>sip:someuser@contoso.com</from>
      <Subject>RE: Car pool</Subject>
      <ConversationID>Aca6SdRQ/SvHLJIHDHoWAEvg==</ConversationID>
      <Target>sip:teammember@contoso.com</Target>
      <TargetClass>secondary</TargetClass>
      <AnsweredBy>sip:teammember@contoso.com</AnsweredBy>
    </Event>
  </UserNotification>
```

4.3 Call Forbidden Event

The following example is an INFO dialog that includes a user notification of a forbidden call event. For more information, see section 3.2.4.3.

```
INFO sip:exchange-um1.contoso.com:5061;transport=Tls SIP/2.0
FROM: <sip:A410AA79-D874-4e56-9B46-709BDD0EB850>;epid=12E34CB0DB;tag=806bdbd128
TO: <sip:exchange-
uml.contoso.com;opaque=app:rtcevent;transport=tls>;epid=9EEC660CCD;tag=826c5fb8f
CSEQ: 10 INFO
CALL-ID: d5b36088-9a24-4b36-a671-82c5de77de9c
CONTENT-TYPE: application/ms-rtc-usernotification+xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="us-ascii" ?>
  <UserNotification>
    <User>sip:user@contoso.com</User>
    <EumProxyAddress>EUM:user@contoso.com;phone-context=dp1.contoso.com/EumProxyAddress>
    <Time>2006-05-02 18:53:32Z</Time>
    <Template>RtcDefault</Template>
    <Event type="forbidden">
    <CallId>234d82934091df92034ad3e329fae03234</CallId>
      <From>sip:someuser@contoso.com</from>
      <Subject>Pricing figures</Subject>
      <Priority>High</Priority>
      <ConversationID>Aca6SdRQ/SvHLJIHDHoWAEvg==</conversationID>
      <Target>sip:+145532290933@contoso.com;user=phone</Target>
      <TargetClass>primary</TargetClass>
  </UserNotification>
```

5 Security

5.1 Security Considerations for Implementers

None.

5.2 Index of Security Parameters

None.

6 Appendix A: Full User Notification Format

For ease of implementation, the full user notification format is provided as follows:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="unqualified"</pre>
attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:simpleType name = "missed-reason-type">
    <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
        <xs:enumeration value="CallerReleased"/>
        <xs:enumeration value="Declined"/>
   </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>
  <xs:simpleType name = "target-class-type">
    <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
        <xs:enumeration value="primary"/>
        <xs:enumeration value="secondary"/>
   </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>
  <xs:simpleType name = "event-type-attribute-type">
    <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
        <xs:enumeration value="missed"/>
        <xs:enumeration value="answered"/>
       <xs:enumeration value="forbidden"/>
    </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>
  <xs:complexType name="event-type">
    <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="CallId" type="xs:string" />
        <xs:element name="From" type="xs:string" />
        <xs:element name="Subject" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="Priority" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="ConversationID" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="ReferredBy" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="Target" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="TargetClass" type="target-class-type" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="AnsweredBy" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="MissedReason" type="missed-reason-type" minOccurs="0" />
    </r></r></r>
    <xs:attribute name="type" type="event-type-attribute-type" use="required" />
  </xs:complexType>
  <!-- Root document defintion -->
  <xs:complexType name="user-notification-type">
    <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="User" type="xs:string" />
        <xs:element name="EumProxyAddress" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
        <xs:element name="Time" type="xs:string" />
        <xs:element name="Template" type="xs:string" fixed="RtcDefault" />
        <xs:element name="Event" type="event-type" />
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
  <xs:element name="UserNotification" type="user-notification-type" />
</xs:schema>
```

7 Appendix B: Product Behavior

The information in this specification is applicable to the following Microsoft products or supplemental software. References to product versions include released service packs.

- Microsoft Exchange Server 2007 Service Pack 1 (SP1)
- Microsoft Exchange Server 2010
- Microsoft Office Communications Server 2007
- Microsoft Office Communications Server 2007 R2
- Microsoft Office Communicator 2007
- Microsoft Office Communicator 2007 R2
- Microsoft Lync 2010
- Microsoft Lync Server 2010
- Microsoft Skype for Business (formerly Lync 2013)
- Microsoft Lync Server 2013
- Microsoft Exchange Server 2013
- Skype for Business
- Skype for Business Server

Exceptions, if any, are noted below. If a service pack or Quick Fix Engineering (QFE) number appears with the product version, behavior changed in that service pack or QFE. The new behavior also applies to subsequent service packs of the product unless otherwise specified. If a product edition appears with the product version, behavior is different in that product edition.

Unless otherwise specified, any statement of optional behavior in this specification that is prescribed using the terms SHOULD or SHOULD NOT implies product behavior in accordance with the SHOULD or SHOULD NOT prescription. Unless otherwise specified, the term MAY implies that the product does not follow the prescription.

- <1> Section 2.1: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communicator 2007 R2, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Lync 2010, Lync Server 2010: IPV6 is not supported.
- <a><s Section 2.2.1: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <3> Section 2.2.6: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007: This behavior is not supported.
- <4> Section 3.1.5: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <5> Section 3.1.5: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <a> Section 3.1.5: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007: This behavior is not supported.

- <7> Section 3.1.5: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007: This behavior is not supported.
- <8> Section 3.1.5.1: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <9> Section 3.1.5.2: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <<u>10> Section 3.1.5.3</u>: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <11> Section 3.1.5.7: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007: This behavior is not supported.
- <12> Section 3.1.5.7: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2: In these releases, the call was terminated with the lowest numbered response among all the final responses received.
- <<u>13> Section 3.2</u>: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.
- <14> Section 3.2.3: Office Communications Server 2007, Office Communicator 2007, Office Communications Server 2007 R2, Office Communicator 2007 R2: This behavior is not supported.

8 Change Tracking

This section identifies changes that were made to this document since the last release. Changes are classified as New, Major, Minor, Editorial, or No change.

The revision class **New** means that a new document is being released.

The revision class **Major** means that the technical content in the document was significantly revised. Major changes affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of major changes are:

- A document revision that incorporates changes to interoperability requirements or functionality.
- The removal of a document from the documentation set.

The revision class **Minor** means that the meaning of the technical content was clarified. Minor changes do not affect protocol interoperability or implementation. Examples of minor changes are updates to clarify ambiguity at the sentence, paragraph, or table level.

The revision class **Editorial** means that the formatting in the technical content was changed. Editorial changes apply to grammatical, formatting, and style issues.

The revision class **No change** means that no new technical changes were introduced. Minor editorial and formatting changes may have been made, but the technical content of the document is identical to the last released version.

Major and minor changes can be described further using the following change types:

- New content added.
- Content updated.
- Content removed.
- New product behavior note added.
- Product behavior note updated.
- Product behavior note removed.
- New protocol syntax added.
- Protocol syntax updated.
- Protocol syntax removed.
- New content added due to protocol revision.
- Content updated due to protocol revision.
- Content removed due to protocol revision.
- New protocol syntax added due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax updated due to protocol revision.
- Protocol syntax removed due to protocol revision.
- Obsolete document removed.

Editorial changes are always classified with the change type **Editorially updated**.

Some important terms used in the change type descriptions are defined as follows:

- **Protocol syntax** refers to data elements (such as packets, structures, enumerations, and methods) as well as interfaces.
- **Protocol revision** refers to changes made to a protocol that affect the bits that are sent over the wire.

The changes made to this document are listed in the following table. For more information, please contact dochelp@microsoft.com.

Section	Tracking number (if applicable) and description	Major change (Y or N)	Change type
7 Appendix B: Product Behavior	Updated list of supported products.	Y	Content updated due to protocol revision.

Index 9 routing to Exchange UM 15 Α user notification 18 **Introduction** 6 Abstract data model routing to Exchange UM 15 user notification 18 Applicability 9 Local events routing to Exchange UM 17 C user notification 20 Call answered event 19 М example 21 SIP proxy operation 19 Call forbidden event 20 Message processing example 22 routing to Exchange UM 15 SIP proxy operation 20 101 Progress report 17 Capability negotiation 9 302 Redirect response 16 Change tracking 27 415 response 17 interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server 16 D other responses 17 QoE report 16 retry request 17 Data model - abstract user notification 20 routing to Exchange UM 15 Messages user notification 18 Ms-Mras-Address Header Field 11 Option Tag Extensions 14 Request-URI Header Field 11 transport 11 Elements User Notification Extensions 11 event-type 12 user event description 12 event-type-attribute-type 12 user-notification-type 12 event type attribute type 12 user notification description 12 Examples User Notification INVITE Request call answered event 21 From header field 13 call forbidden event 22 Request-URI header field 13 missed call event 21 SDP body 13 User Notification INVITE Response 14 Missed call event 18 example 21 Fields - vendor-extensible 9 SIP proxy operation 19 Ms-Mras-Address Header Field message 11 G Glossary 6 Normative references 8 н 0 Higher-layer triggered events routing to Exchange UM 15 Option Tag Extensions message 14 user notification Overview (synopsis) 9 call answered 19 SIP proxy operation 19 call forbidden 20 SIP proxy operation 20 Parameters - security index 23 missed call 18 Preconditions 9 SIP proxy operation 19 Prerequisites 9 Product behavior 25 Ι

R

References

informative 8

<u>Implementer - security considerations</u> 23 <u>Index of security parameters</u> 23

Informative references 8

Initialization

normative 8	SIP proxy operation 19
Relationship to other protocols 9	call forbidden 20
Request-URI Header Field message 11	SIP proxy operation 20
Routing to Exchange UM	missed call 18
abstract data model 15	SIP proxy operation 19
higher-layer triggered events 15	
initialization 15	U
<u>local events</u> 17	
message processing 15	Unified Messaging server timer
101 Progress report 17	expiry 17
302 Redirect response 16	overview 15
415 response 17	User notification
interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server 16	abstract data model 18
other responses 17	higher-layer triggered events
QoE report 16	call answered 19
retry request 17	SIP proxy operation 19
overview 15	call forbidden 20
sequencing rules 15	SIP proxy operation 20
101 Progress report 17	missed call 18
302 Redirect response 16	SIP proxy operation 19
415 response 17	<u>initialization</u> 18
interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server 16	<u>local events</u> 20
other responses 17	message processing 20
QoE report 16	overview 17
retry request 17	schema 24
timer events 17 timers 15	sequencing rules 20
<u>umers</u> 15	timer events 20
6	timers 18
S	<u>User Notification Extensions message</u> 11
Cahama	user event description 12
Schema	event type attribute type 12
user notification 24 Security	user notification description 12 User Notification Inactivity timer
implementer considerations 23	expiry 20
parameter index 23	overview 18
Sequencing rules	User Notification INVITE Request message
routing to Exchange UM 15	From header field 13
101 Progress report 17	Request-URI header field 13
302 Redirect response 16	SDP body 13
415 response 17	User Notification INVITE Response message 14
interacting with an Audio/Video Edge Server 16	The state of the s
other responses 17	V
QoE report 16	
retry request 17	Vendor-extensible fields 9
user notification 20	Versioning 9
SIP proxy operation	<u></u>
call answered event 19	
call forbidden event 20	
missed call event 19	
Standards assignments 10	
T	
Timer events	
routing to Exchange UM 17	
user notification 20	
Timers	
routing to Exchange UM 15	
user notification 18	
Tracking changes 27	
Transport 11	
T	
Triggered events	
routing to Exchange UM 15	